arXiv:math/0605784v1 [math.RA] 31 May 2006

Maximal Subgroups of Compact Lie Groups

Paola Gaviria [1] , Michael Forger [2] * and Fernando Antoneli Jr. [3]

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo,
Cx. Postal 66281,
BR–05315-970 São Paulo, S.P., Brazil

Abstract

In this article, we investigate the problem of classifying the maximal subgroups of a general compact Lie group G. First, when G is not connected, it is shown how to reduce this problem to that of finding the maximal subgroups of the connected one-component G_0 of G and the maximal subgroups of the finite group G/G_0. Then it is shown that the classification of the maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups may be reduced to the classification of their maximal finite subgroups together with the classification of the maximally invariant subalgebras of compact Lie algebras, whose normalizers define maximal subgroups. It is also shown how this second task may be further reduced to the special case of compact simple Lie algebras, whose maximally invariant subalgebras are identical with their primitive subalgebras. Finally, we explicitly compute the normalizers of the primitive subalgebras of the compact classical Lie algebras (in the corresponding classical groups), thus arriving at the complete classification of all (non-discrete) maximal subgroups of the compact classical Lie groups.

Universidade de São Paulo
RT-MAP 06/01
May 2006

---

[1] *E-mail address:* pgaviria@ime.usp.br

[2] *E-mail address:* forger@ime.usp.br

[3] *E-mail address:* antoneli@ime.usp.br

*Work partially supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico), Brazil.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we address two important and intimately related problems from the theory of Lie groups: (1) to classify the maximal[1] subgroups of a given Lie group and (2) to classify the primitive actions of a given Lie group. The second problem dates back to Sophus Lie and has over decades received a great deal of attention in the literature: see Dynkin [5,6,32], Golubitsky *et al.* [11,12], Chekalov [3] and Komrakov [22–24].

Of course, the same problems and, in particular, the notion of a primitive action can also be formulated in the context of abstract groups. A transitive[2] action of an abstract group G on a set X is said to be *primitive* if the only G-invariant equivalence relations $R \subset X \times X$ are the trivial ones: $R = X \times X$ and $R = \{(x,x) \mid x \in X\}$. This is equivalent to X being a homogeneous space G/H where the stability group H is a maximal subgroup of G. For Lie groups, the corresponding concept is defined somewhat differently. A transitive[2] action of a Lie group G on a manifold M is said to be *(Lie) primitive* if M admits no G-invariant foliation with connected fibers of positive dimension smaller than $\dim M$. This is equivalent to M being a homogeneous space G/H where the stability group H satisfies the following weaker maximality condition: for any Lie subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of G such that $H \subset \tilde{H} \subset G$, $\tilde{H}_0 = H_0$ or $\tilde{H}_0 = G_0$, where the index 0 denotes taking the connected one-component. Clearly every action of a Lie group which is primitive as the action of an abstract group is also Lie primitive, but not conversely.

The infinitesimal version of the problem amounts to the classification of the maximal subalgebras of the complex semisimple Lie algebras. This was completely solved in the early 1950s by Morozov [27,28], Karpelevich [19], Borel and de Siebenthal [2] and Dynkin [5,6]; see also the Bourbaki review by J. Tits [32].

The study of the global version[3] of the problem was initiated in the seventies by Golubitsky [11] who classified the maximal rank primitive subgroups of the classical complex Lie groups. Soon after, this classification was extended to the exceptional complex Lie groups by Golubitsky and Rothschild [12]. Finally, the classification was completed by including primitive subgroups of any rank, first for the classical complex Lie groups by Chekalov [3] and later for the exceptional complex Lie groups by Komrakov [24].

It is clear from this brief description that most of the efforts were centered around the notion of primitive actions, leaving that of maximal subgroups as an auxiliary concept. It is not difficult to see that when the ambient group is a simple Lie group, the non-discrete maximal subgroups can be obtained from the primitive subgroups: the former are precisely the normalizers of the connected components of the identity of the latter. However, this correspondence is less clear when the ambient group is not simple.

---

[1] The term “maximal” will always be understood to mean “maximal proper”.

[2] Often it is also assumed that the action is effective.

[3] The purely discrete case is not considered in any one of these classifications.

In fact, it is shown in [11, p. 179] that if a semisimple Lie group G has more than three simple factors, then G does not admit any primitive subgroups, while it is obvious that G does admit non-discrete maximal subgroups.

The first aim of this work is to show how the classification of the maximal subgroups of a general compact Lie group can be reduced to combining

(1) the classification of the maximal subgroups of finite groups,

(2) the classification of the finite maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups,

(3) the classification of the primitive subalgebras of simple Lie algebras.

Our second aim is to determine explicitly the corresponding maximal subgroups in the last item. Here, we shall present the results for the case when the ambient group is one of the classical groups; the case of the exceptional groups will be left to a separate note. Also, we shall restrict ourselves to maximal subgroups whose connected one-component is not simple; the reason for this omission will be explained later. This result will finally fill in a gap left by Dynkin [6, p. 247], promising that the computation of the normalizers of the maximal connected subgroups would appear in a separate article, which has apparently never been published.

2 Maximal Subgroups of Compact Lie Groups

In this section we consider the structure of maximal subgroups of general compact Lie groups.

2.1 Connected and Non-connected Subgroups

Let us begin by recalling the definition of a maximal subalgebra of a Lie algebra.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A *maximal subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a proper subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that if $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is any subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{g}$.

In the case of Lie groups and in particular, compact Lie groups, it is “a priori” not so clear how to define the corresponding notion of a maximal subgroup. There are two natural lattices of subgroups in a compact Lie group: the lattice of Lie subgroups and the (sub)lattice of closed subgroups. If we employ the lattice of Lie subgroups then the correspondence between subgroups and subalgebras is preserved, while if we employ the lattice of closed subgroups then the operation of taking subgroups preserves the category of compact Lie groups. Observe, however, that a Lie subgroup which is not closed and is maximal among all Lie subgroups is necessarily dense, since otherwise its closure would be an intermediary Lie subgroup. Thus we may take advantage of both options by adopting the convention that when calling M a "proper subgroup" of G, we require that $\bar{M} \subsetneqq G$, rather than just $M \subsetneqq G$. (Of course, this makes no difference as long as M is closed.) In other words, dense Lie subgroups do not qualify as proper subgroups.

Definition 2.2 Let G be a Lie group. A *maximal subgroup* of G is a closed proper subgroup M of G which is maximal among all Lie subgroups of G, that is, such that if $\tilde{M}$ is any Lie subgroup of G with $M \subset \tilde{M} \subset G$, then $\tilde{M} = M$ or $\tilde{M} = G$. Similarly, assuming G to be connected, a *maximal connected subgroup* of G is a closed proper connected subgroup M_0 of G which is maximal among all connected Lie subgroups of G, that is, such that if $\tilde{M}_0$ is any connected Lie subgroup of G with $M_0 \subset \tilde{M}_0 \subset G$, then $\tilde{M}_0 = M_0$ or $\tilde{M}_0 = G$.

Remark 2.1 If one considers only *connected* Lie subgroups of connected Lie groups, then the concept of maximality for subgroups corresponds completely to that for subalgebras. In fact, the Lie correspondence [21, p. 47] establishes a bijection between the lattice of subalgebras of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the connected Lie subgroups of a connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$. ◇

The question of how to extend this kind of correspondence, at least in the compact case, to non-connected Lie subgroups of Lie groups, even of connected Lie groups, is the main problem addressed in this section.

The first step is to note that the problem of classification of the maximal subgroups of compact Lie groups may be decomposed into two subproblems:

(i) the classification of the maximal subgroups of finite groups,

(ii) the classification of the maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups.

More precisely, suppose G is a compact Lie group, G_0 is the connected one-component of G and Γ is the group of components of G, that is, the finite quotient group G/G_0; then denoting by π the canonical projection from G to Γ, we have the following short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \longrightarrow 1 \ .$$

Now let M be a maximal subgroup of G. Then there are only two possibilities:

(i) $G_0 \cap M = G_0$ (i.e., $G_0 \subset M$), and $\pi(M)$ is a maximal subgroup of Γ.

(ii) $G_0 \cap M$ is a maximal subgroup of G_0, and $\pi(M) = \Gamma$.

In fact, just choose, for each element γ of $\pi(M)$, one element g_γ of M in the connected component of G corresponding to γ, that is, such that $\pi(g_\gamma) = \gamma$, and put

$$\tilde{M} \;=\; \dot{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \pi(M)}} \; g_\gamma G_0 \; .$$

In this way, we obtain a closed subgroup of G such that $M \subset \tilde{M} \subset G$; hence it follows that $\tilde{M} = M$ (case (i)) or $\tilde{M} = G$ (case (ii)). Observe that case (i) constitutes a problem in the theory of finite groups that has been vigorously investigated in the last decade; see, for example, [1, 20, 25, 26, 30, 31]. Our concern here will be case (ii); therefore we shall assume from now on that G is *connected*.

Even with this restriction, the classification of the maximal subgroups M of G is far from reducing to the classification of the maximal connected subgroups M_0 of G. For example, M_0 may be trivial ($M = \{1\}$), which means that M must be a discrete (hence finite) maximal subgroup of G. On the other hand, M_0 may be maximal among the connected Lie subgroups of G and yet fail to be maximal among all the Lie subgroups of G.

Example 2.1 Consider the group $G = SO(3)$ of all rotations in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then the finite subgroups of G (see [4, p. 192] and [13, p. 103]) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}_n \;&=\; \text{the cyclic group of order } n, \\
\mathrm{D}_n \;&=\; \text{the dihedral group of order } 2n, \\
\mathrm{T} \;&=\; \text{the tetrahedral group } \;\cong\; A_4 \; , \\
\mathrm{O} \;&=\; \text{the cubic/octahedral group } \;\cong\; S_4 \; , \\
\mathrm{I} \;&=\; \text{the dodecahedral/icosahedral group } \;\cong\; A_5 \; .
\end{aligned}$$

Among these, the octahedral group O and the icosahedral group I are maximal finite subgroups and in fact are maximal among all subgroups of G. ◇

Example 2.2 Consider the group $G = SO(3)$ of all rotations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and its maximal torus $T = SO(2)$. This subgroup is maximal among the connected Lie subgroups of G since its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{so}(2)$ is a maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(3)$. However, T is not maximal among all Lie subgroups of G, since

$$T \subsetneqq M \subsetneqq G \; ,$$

where

$$G \;=\; SO(3) \quad , \qquad T \;=\; SO(2) \quad , \qquad M \;=\; O(2) \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes SO(2) \; .$$

Geometrically, T is a circle and M is the disjoint union of two circles; moreover, M is generated by T and any matrix of determinant -1, such as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \; ,$$

which represents reflection along the main diagonal. It is clear that M is a maximal subgroup of G with $M_0 = T$ and coincides with the normalizer of T in G. ◇

2.2 Normalizers

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let H be a Lie subgroup of G with connected one-component H_0 and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of both H and H_0. We denote by

$$N_G(H_0) \;=\; \{\, g \in G \mid g H_0 g^{-1} \subset H_0 \,\} \tag{1}$$

the normalizer of H_0 in G and by

$$N_G(\mathfrak{h}) \;=\; \{\, g \in G \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h} \,\} \tag{2}$$

the normalizer of $\mathfrak{h}$ in G with respect to the adjoint representation. Obviously, we have

$$N_G(H_0) \;=\; N_G(\mathfrak{h}) \tag{3}$$

and we shall denote this group simply by N whenever there is no danger of confusion. It is easy to see that N is always a closed subgroup of G, since using a decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ into the direct sum of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and an arbitrarily chosen complementary subspace, N can be written as the inverse image of the "block triangular subgroup" $\{T \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid T(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}\}$ under the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. The Lie algebra of N, denoted by $\mathfrak{n}$, is

$$\mathfrak{n} \;=\; \{\, X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{ad}(X)\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h} \,\} \tag{4}$$

and is the normalizer of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

The following inclusion, although very simple to prove, is fundamental:

$$H_0 \subset H \subset N \; . \tag{5}$$

Indeed, for every $h \in H$, we have $hHh^{-1} \subset H$, since H is a subgroup. Therefore, $hH_0h^{-1} \subset H_0$, since conjugation by h is a homeomorphism of H and thus maps connected components of H to connected components of H. But this means that $h \in N$. The corresponding inclusion at the level of Lie algebras is

$$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{n} \; , \tag{6}$$

which is also obvious from eqn (4).

Remark 2.2 In general, N may be larger than H_0 or H and $\mathfrak{n}$ may be larger than $\mathfrak{h}$. An extreme case is the following:

$$N = G \iff H_0 \text{ normal subgroup of } G \; , \tag{7}$$

or at the infinitesimal level

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{h} \text{ ideal of } \mathfrak{g} \ . \tag{8}$$

Observe that if the conditions in eqn (7) hold, then the conditions in eqn (8) also hold, and that they are all equivalent if G is connected. For what follows, this case may be excluded since we are interested only in proper subgroups of G. The other extreme case occurs when N has H_0 as its connected one-component, or equivalently, when N/H_0 is a discrete group, which in turn is equivalent to the condition that $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h}$. ◇

Definition 2.3 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *self-normalizing* if $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the normalizer of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

In order to complete the picture, consider the general case where $\mathfrak{h}$ is simply a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denoting its normalizer in $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{n}_1$, the normalizer of $\mathfrak{n}_1$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{n}_2$ and so on, we obtain an ascending sequence of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ which, for dimensional reasons, ends at some proper subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_r$ of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{n}_1 \subset \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{n}_r \subset \mathfrak{g} \ . \tag{9}$$

Analogously, using eqn (5), we obtain an ascending sequence of subgroups of G,

$$H \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots \subset N_r \subset G \ , \tag{10}$$

where $N_1 = N_G(\mathfrak{h})$, $N_2 = N_G(\mathfrak{n}_1), \ldots, N_r = N_G(\mathfrak{n}_{r-1})$. There are then two possibilities: either $\mathfrak{n}_r$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ or else $\mathfrak{n}_r$ is self-normalizing.

2.3 Types of Maximal Subgroups

In Sect. 1, we have already reduced the study of maximal subgroups of a Lie group G to the case where G is connected. Now we move on to the next task, which is to split the class of maximal subgroups of a connected Lie group G into two distinct (and disjoint) subclasses.

Proposition 2.1 *Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and M be a maximal subgroup of G with connected one-component M_0 and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$. Then one the following two alternatives holds.*

1. *M_0 is a normal subgroup of G, or equivalently, $\mathfrak{m}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

2. *M is the normalizer of M_0 and of $\mathfrak{m}$ in G.*

Proof: Suppose M_0 is not normal in G, or equivalently, $\mathfrak{m}$ is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the normalizer N of M_0 and of $\mathfrak{m}$ in G is a proper closed subgroup of G containing M and therefore must be equal to M, since by hypothesis, M is maximal. $\square$

In both cases, we may impose an additional assumption, without loss of generality:

(i) M_0 and $\mathfrak{m}$ are both trivial, that is, $M_0 = \{1\}$, $\mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$.

In fact, if M_0 is a non-trivial normal subgroup of G, we may substitute G by the quotient group $G' = G/M_0$, which is a connected Lie group, and M by the quotient subgroup $M' = M/M_0$, which is a discrete maximal subgroup of G'.

(ii) M_0 does not contain any non-trivial normal subgroup of G, or equivalently, $\mathfrak{m}$ does not contain any non-trivial ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.

In fact, if M_0 contains some non-trivial normal subgroup of G, we define

$$N_{M_0} \;=\; \{\, g \in G \mid g' g\, g'^{-1} \in M_0 \text{ for every } g' \in G \,\}\ ,$$

and noting that this is a closed normal subgroup of G contained in M_0 and in fact is the largest such subgroup, we may substitute G by the quotient group $G' = G/N_{M_0}$ and M by the quotient subgroup $M' = M/N_{M_0}$.

For connected compact Lie groups, it is evident that the classification of the maximal subgroups of type (i), that is, those whose connected one-component is normal, reduces to the classification of the finite maximal subgroups of type (i). Similarly, the classification of maximal subgroups of type (ii), that is, those which are the normalizers of their own connected one-component, reduces to the classification of the maximal subgroups of type (ii) whose connected one-component does not contain any non-trivial normal subgroup.

As for the first problem – the classification of the finite maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups – it is known that, for the classical Lie groups, it may be reduced to a problem in the representation theory of finite groups [9], whose solution in the simplest case A_1 is stated in Example 2.1 above. On the other hand, for the exceptional Lie groups, it constitutes a highly non-trivial problem which has been the subject of recent (and still ongoing) research by experts in the theory of finite groups [8–10].

In the discussion that follows we shall deal with the second problem: the classification of the maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups which are the normalizers of their own connected one-component, or equivalently, of their own Lie algebra. As a first step, we shall give a characterization of the Lie subalgebras whose normalizers are maximal subgroups: this will lead us to the concept of maximally invariant subalgebras and, in particular, of primitive subalgebras.

2.4 Maximally Invariant and Primitive Subalgebras

Let G be a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. Let H be a Lie subgroup of G, H_0 the connected one-component of H, $\mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebra of H and of H_0 and N the normalizer of H_0 and of $\mathfrak{h}$ in G. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$ the Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is well known that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie group and $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$ is its Lie algebra. Let $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to GL(\mathfrak{g})$ be the adjoint representation of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. If necessary, we shall write Ad_G instead of Ad and $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ instead of ad in order to avoid ambiguities. Obviously, $\mathrm{Ad}(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 2.3 Note that the inclusion $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$ is in general not an equality, but there are two important cases where one can say more. The first is when $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple: then $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g})$. The second is when $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian: then $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ while $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. ◇

The group of inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$, is the connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\exp(\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}))$: it is also the connected one-component of $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ and coincides with $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ when G is connected. Finally, we denote by

$$\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}) ~=~ \{\, \phi \in \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \phi(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h} \,\} ~.$$

the group of those inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ that leave $\mathfrak{h}$ invariant. Obviously, when G is connected, we have

$$\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) ~=~ \mathrm{Ad}_G(G) \quad , \qquad \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}) ~=~ \mathrm{Ad}_G(N) ~.$$

Definition 2.4 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A *maximally invariant subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a proper subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is maximal among all $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, such that if $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is any $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{g}$. A *primitive subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a maximally invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which does not contain any proper ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.4 Note that the normalizer $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$-invariant, since given $\phi \in \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ and $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, we have

$$Y \in \mathfrak{h} \quad\Longrightarrow\quad \mathrm{ad}(\phi(X))(Y) ~=~ [\phi(X),\phi(\phi^{-1}(Y))] ~=~ \phi\big(\mathrm{ad}(X)(\phi^{-1}(Y))\big) \in \mathfrak{h} ~,$$

that is, $\phi(X) \in \mathfrak{n}$. Therefore, a maximally invariant subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is either self-normalizing or is an ideal. Of course, a primitive subalgebra is always self-normalizing, and the concepts of maximally invariant subalgebra and primitive subalgebra coincide when the ambient Lie algebra is simple. ◇

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and H_0 be a proper connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ which is self-normalizing. Then the normalizer N of H_0 and of $\mathfrak{h}$ in G is a maximal subgroup of G if and only if $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximally invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof: As a preliminary, we note that $\mathfrak{h}$ being a proper self-normalizing subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h} \subsetneqq \mathfrak{g}$ which means that $\mathfrak{h}$ is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and implies that H_0 is not a normal subgroup of G, so N is a proper closed subgroup of G and N/H_0 is discrete.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximally invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and that H' is a Lie subgroup of G containing N, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}'$; then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{h}'$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$-invariant. (To show this, observe that for every $h' \in H'$, the automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}_G(h')$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ leaves the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ invariant and its restriction to this subalgebra coincides with the automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}_{H'}(h')$ of $\mathfrak{h}'$. But $N \subset H'$, so this implies that $\mathfrak{h}'$ is $\mathrm{Ad}_G(N)$-invariant.) Since, by hypothesis, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximally invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneqq \mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}$ or $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}' \subsetneqq \mathfrak{g}$. Now if $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}$, then $H' = G$, since G is connected, while if $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}$, then $H_0' = H_0$ and hence $H' \subset N_G(H_0')$, according to eqn (5), so $H' = N$.

To show the converse, suppose now that N is a maximal subgroup of G and that $\mathfrak{h}'$ is an $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$. Let H_0' be the connected Lie subgroup of G which corresponds to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let N' be the normalizer of H_0' and of $\mathfrak{h}'$ in G. Then the fact that $\mathfrak{h}'$ is $\mathrm{Ad}_G(N)$-invariant is equivalent to the inclusion $N \subset N'$. Since, by hypothesis, N is a maximal subgroup of G, it follows that $N \subsetneqq N' = G$ or $N = N' \subsetneqq G$. Now if $N' = N$, then $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h}$ and thus $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}$, while if $N' = G$, then H_0' is a normal subgroup of G and $\mathfrak{h}'$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case, consider the subset $N'' = N\,H_0' = H_0'\,N$ of G, which is evidently a subgroup of G. In fact, it is a Lie subgroup of G whose connected one-component is H_0', since N/H_0 is discrete and H_0 is contained in H_0'. Moreover, N'' contains N, and since N is a maximal subgroup of G, we conclude that $N'' = N$ or $N'' = G$. Considering connected one-components, it follows that $H_0' = H_0$ and hence $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}$ in the first case, while $H_0' = G$ and hence $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}$ in the second case. □

According to this theorem, the classification of the maximal subgroups of connected compact Lie groups which are the normalizers of their own Lie algebras reduces to the classification of the maximally invariant subalgebras of compact Lie algebras which are not ideals. In what follows, we shall show that the latter can be reduced to the classification of the primitive subalgebras of compact simple Lie algebras, in two steps.

For the first step, recall that every compact Lie algebra is a reductive real Lie algebra, that is, it decomposes as a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$ of its center $\mathfrak{z}$ and its derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ which is semisimple. So the first step is the reduction from reductive Lie algebras to semisimple Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra decomposed as a direct sum*

$$\mathfrak{g} \;=\; \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}' \tag{11}$$

of its center $\mathfrak{z}$ and its semisimple derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximally invariant *subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *which is not an ideal of* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then* $\mathfrak{m}$ *contains* $\mathfrak{z}$ *and is necessarily of the form*

$$\mathfrak{m} \;=\; \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{m}' \tag{12}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}'$ *is a maximally invariant subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}'$ *which is not an ideal of* $\mathfrak{g}'$*.*

Proof: First we show that $\mathfrak{m}$ must contain the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In order to do so, consider the subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$: this is simply the sum $\mathfrak{z}+\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ (note that, in general, it is not a direct sum). Obviously, $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant because $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant and $\mathfrak{z}$ is even $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$-invariant. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{g}$. In the first case, it follows that $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, as claimed. In the second case, we conclude that $\mathfrak{m}$ must contain the derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, since given any two elements X and Y of $\mathfrak{g}$, we can write $X = X_{\mathfrak{z}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $Y = Y_{\mathfrak{z}} + Y_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and obtain $[X,Y] = [X_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y_{\mathfrak{m}}] \in \mathfrak{m}$. But this implies that $\mathfrak{m}$ must be an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, which excludes this case, by hypothesis. Therefore, we must have $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, and the intersection $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{g}' \cap \mathfrak{m}$ is a maximally invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$ (since if $\mathfrak{h}'$ is an $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{m}')$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$ such that $\mathfrak{m}' \subset \mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$, then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$ is an $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, which implies $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$ and thus $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{m}'$ or $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}'$) which is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}'$ and such that the direct sum decomposition given by eqn (11) implies the direct sum decomposition given by eqn (12). □

The second step concerns the reduction from semisimple Lie algebras to simple Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.3 *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a semisimple Lie algebra and* $\mathfrak{m}$ *be a maximally invariant subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *which is not an ideal of* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then* $\mathfrak{m}$ *is necessarily of one of the following types:*

(i) The simple type*: Up to isomorphisms and permutations of the simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, we have* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$*, where* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *is one of the simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$*,* $\mathfrak{g}_1$ *is the direct sum of all other simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\mathfrak{m}_0$ *is a maximally invariant subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*. Moreover,* $\mathfrak{m}$ *is maximal in* $\mathfrak{g}$ *if and only if* $\mathfrak{m}_0$ *is maximal in* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*.*

(ii) The diagonal type*: Up to isomorphisms and permutations of the simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$*, we have* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$*, where* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *is one of the simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *which occurs (at least) twice in* $\mathfrak{g}$*,* $\mathfrak{g}_1$ *is the direct sum of all other simple ideals of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and the inclusion of* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *in* $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$ *is given by the diagonal map, that is,* $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ *is mapped to* $(X_0, X_0) \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$*. Moreover, this subalgebra is always maximal in* $\mathfrak{g}$*.*

Proof: The proof is completely analogous to the corresponding proof in the case of maximal subalgebras [5, Theorem 15.1]. □

Remark 2.5 As a corollary, note that a Lie algebra that is not simple and is not the direct sum of two copies of the same simple Lie algebra does not admit primitive subalgebras. $\diamond$

2.5 Complex Lie Algebras and their Compact Real Forms

Having reduced the classification of the maximally invariant subalgebras of compact Lie algebras to the classification of the primitive subalgebras of compact simple Lie algebras, we proceed to study this problem. The best way to do so is by transferring the corresponding classification for simple complex Lie algebras, which is known, to their compact real forms.

It is well known that the complexification of a real Lie algebra defines a functor from the category of compact Lie algebras to the category of reductive complex Lie algebras, both with homomorphisms of Lie algebras as morphisms. Moreover, this functor establishes a bijective correspondence

$$\text{compact Lie algebras} \longleftrightarrow \text{reductive complex Lie algebras} \tag{13}$$

at the level of isomorphism classes, since according to the Weyl existence and conjugacy theorem for compact real forms [21], every reductive complex Lie algebra admits compact real forms and any two of these are conjugate.

If we fix a compact real form $\mathfrak{g}$ of a reductive complex Lie algebra, denoted by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ to indicate that it is the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, then the above functor induces a bijective correspondence between the lattice of conjugacy classes of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the lattice of conjugacy classes of reductive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{lattice of conjugacy classes of} \\ \text{subalgebras of the} \\ \text{compact Lie algebra } \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{lattice of conjugacy classes of reductive} \\ \text{subalgebras of the} \\ \text{reductive complex Lie algebra } \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \tag{14}$$

Now note that reductive complex Lie algebras contain non-reductive subalgebras, such as the parabolic subalgebras. Taking into account that every compact Lie algebra is reductive and so is its complexification, these non-reductive subalgebras cannot be obtained as the complexification of any subalgebra of any compact real form. Thus in order to obtain the primitive subalgebras of a compact simple Lie algebra from the primitive subalgebras of its complexification, we must restrict ourselves to reductive subalgebras and therefore we should relativize the notions of maximal, maximally invariant and primitive to the lattice of reductive subalgebras.

Definition 2.5 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra. A *maximal reductive subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a proper reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that if $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is any reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{g}$. A *maximally invariant reductive subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a proper reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is maximal among all $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant reductive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, such that if $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is any $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})$-invariant reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{g}$. A *primitive reductive subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a maximally invariant reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which does not contain any proper ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.

With this terminology, we have the following

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a compact Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Then there is a bijective correspondence between the maximally invariant (primitive) subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the maximally invariant (primitive) reductive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which preserves conjugacy classes of subalgebras. Moreover, this correspondence takes maximal subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ to maximal reductive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof: The proof is straightforward and is left to the reader. □

3 Primitive Subalgebras of Classical Lie Algebras

Now we present the classification of primitive subalgebras of the classical Lie algebras. Our presentation is based on a combination of the results of several papers [3,5,6,11,24]. As has already been mentioned in the introduction, all these results, with the exception of those in [24], refer to the complex case. Since we are ultimately interested in compact Lie groups, we shall restate them by using Proposition 2.4 to translate to the respective compact real forms.

When working with classical Lie algebras the best way to proceed for analyzing the inclusion of subalgebras is to use their natural realization as matrix Lie algebras. Concretely,

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
A\text{-series:} & \mathfrak{su}(n) & = & \{\, X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}) \mid X^\dagger + X = 0 \,,\, \mathrm{tr}(X) = 0 \,\} \;, \\
B\text{-series:} & \mathfrak{so}(n) & = & \{\, X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \mid X^T + X = 0 \,\} \;\; (n \text{ odd}) \;, \\
C\text{-series:} & \mathfrak{sp}(n) & = & \{\, X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n/2,\mathbb{H}) \mid X^\dagger + X = 0 \,\} \;\; (n \text{ even}) \;, \\
D\text{-series:} & \mathfrak{so}(n) & = & \{\, X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \mid X^T + X = 0 \,\} \;\; (n \text{ even}) \;,
\end{array}$$

where the symbols $.^T$ and $.^\dagger$ denote transpose and complex or quaternionic adjoint, respectively. In what follows, the term "classical Lie algebra" will mean one of the classical compact Lie algebras with the natural representation given above.

Now given a classical Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we shall divide the set of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ into several types, according to two natural criteria. The first criterion refers to the intrinsic nature of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$, which can be

- abelian,
- simple,
- truly semisimple, i.e., not simple,
- truly reductive, i.e., with non-trivial center and non-trivial derived subalgebra.

The second criterion refers to the nature of the inclusion of $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a matrix algebra acting on a vector space V, we can think of this inclusion as a representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ on V, for which there are two distinct possibilities:

- irreducible,
- reducible (and hence completely reducible).

As was first observed by Dynkin, the classification of the non-simple maximal subalgebras of the classical Lie algebras is straightforward, whereas that of their simple maximal subalgebras is most conveniently performed following a different strategy. As it turns out, the same goes for primitive subalgebras.

To explain this strategy, note as a preliminary step that when $\mathfrak{s}$ is a simple maximal subalgebra or, mor generally, a simple primitive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is one of the classical Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, then with only one exception, $\mathfrak{s}$ is always irreducible. In fact, if $\mathfrak{s}$ is reducible then the space $\mathbb{K}^n$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$) of the pertinent defining representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ may be decomposed into the direct sum of two $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant subspaces, of dimensions p and q, say. Thus, after an appropriate change of basis, $\mathfrak{s}$ is contained in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}' ~=~ \mathfrak{g} \cap \big(\mathfrak{gl}(p,\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(q,\mathbb{K}) \big)$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}'$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{s})$-invariant. (Indeed, write $\phi \in \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ in the form $\phi = \mathrm{Ad}(g)$ with $g \in G$. If ϕ preserves the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ then g maps $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant subspaces to $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant subspaces and thus ϕ also preserves the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.) Therefore, $\mathfrak{s}$ cannot be maximally invariant. The only exception occurs when p or q is equal to 1 and $\mathfrak{gl}(1,\mathbb{K})$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{K}$, so that $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{s}$. This gives the only simple maximal subalgebra and, more generally, the only simple primitive subalgebra which is not irreducible: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(n-1)$.

What Dynkin realized was that conversely, almost every irreducible representation of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ provides an inclusion of $\mathfrak{s}$ as a maximal subalgebra of the pertinent classical Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, which is determined by the type of the representation:

- Type 0: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$, for complex (non self-conjugate) representations,
- Type +1: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$, for real (orthogonal) representations,
- Type −1: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$, for pseudo-real/quaternionic (symplectic) representations.

There is only a handful of exceptions, which can be listed explicitly, and only one of them is primitive:

Theorem 3.1 (Dynkin [5,6], Chekalov [3]) *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a classical compact Lie algebra. Then every simple maximal subalgebra and every simple primitive subalgebra* $\mathfrak{s}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is irreducible, with the only exception of the inclusion*

$$\mathfrak{so}(n-1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(n) \ .$$

Conversely, every simple irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is maximal in* $\mathfrak{g}$*, unless the inclusion* $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *is one of the 18 exceptions listed in Table 1, page 364 of [5] or Table 7, page 236 of [29]. Among these exceptions, there is only one inclusion* $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{s}$ *is not maximal but is primitive in* $\mathfrak{g}$*; it is given by [3, p 279]*

$$\mathfrak{so}(12) \subset \mathfrak{so}(495) \ .$$

Remark 3.1 Although the theorem does not provide an explicit list of simple maximal or primitive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, it is the cornerstone for finding all such subalgebras. Namely, fixing the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with its natural representation on $\mathbb{K}^n$, we apply representation theory – more specifically, the Weyl dimension formula – to first find all irreducible representations of all simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s}$ (classical and exceptional) of dimension n . Next, we determine which among these provide inclusions of $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ by decidng whether the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ under consideration is self-conjugate, and if so, whether it is real (orthogonal) or pseudo-real/quaternionic (symplectic), or not. Finally, we apply the theorem to eliminate the exceptions. ◇

Now consider non-simple primitive subalgebras. In this case we simply list, for every classical Lie algebra, all possibilities up to conjugacy. Note that it is useful to distinguish clearly between two very different constructions of the corresponding representation, according to whether the subalgebra is reducible or irreducible:

Definition 3.1 Let $\pi_1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathfrak{g}_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_2)$ be representations of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ on vector spaces V_1 and V_2, respectively. The *external direct sum* of π_1 and π_2 is the representation $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ on the direct sum $V_1 \oplus V_2$ of the vector spaces V_1 and V_2 given by:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2 : & \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \oplus V_2) \\ & X_1 + X_2 & \longmapsto & \pi_1(X_1) \oplus \pi_2(X_2) \end{array} . \tag{15}$$

The *external tensor product* of π_1 and π_2 is the representation $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ on the tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2$ of the vector spaces V_1 and V_2 given by:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 : & \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{gl}(V_1 \otimes V_2) \\ & (X_1, X_2) & \longmapsto & \pi_1(X_1) \otimes 1 \, + \, 1 \otimes \pi_2(X_2) \end{array} . \tag{16}$$

Remark 3.2 Observe that the resulting Lie algebra is the same in both cases, since the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ and the Cartesian product $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are identical as abstract Lie algebras. (In fact, the concept of a tensor product for Lie algebras does not exist.) Still, we shall continue to use both notations to characterize the kind of representation involved, so the direct sum notation indicates that the inclusion into $\mathfrak{g}$ is by the direct sum construction, whereas the cartesian product notation indicates that the inclusion into $\mathfrak{g}$ is by the tensor product construction. This will greatly simplify the tables. ◇

The main difference between the two constructions is the fact that, even when both π_1 and π_2 are irreducible, the external direct sum $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ is always a reducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, whereas the external tensor product $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is always an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$; moreover, every irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ is given by this construction. (See [14, Proposition 3.1.8, p. 123] for the proof of an entirely analogous statement for groups.) Finally, by iteration of both constructions, it is clear that we can define the direct sum and the tensor product of an arbitrary finite number of representations.

The results are summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3, which give the complete list of (conjugacy classes of) non-simple primitive subalgebras of the classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, respectively, with the following conventions.

subalgebra	conditions	type	irreducible	maximal
$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(q)$	$n = p + q\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 1\,,\ p \geqslant 2$	r	no	yes
$\mathbb{R}$	$n = 2$	a	no	yes
$\mathfrak{su}(p) \times \mathfrak{su}(q)$	$n = pq\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 2$	s	yes	yes
$\mathbb{R}^{l-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{su}(p)$	$n = pl\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 2$	r	no	no
$\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$	$n \geqslant 3$	a	no	no
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{su}(p)$	$n = p^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 2$	s	yes	no

Table 1: Non-simple primitive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ $(n \geqslant 2)$

The first column indicates the isomorphism type of the subalgebra. The second column indicates the conditions which are necessary for the inclusion to exist and also

subalgebra	conditions	type	irreducible	maximal
$\mathfrak{so}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(q)$	$n = p + q\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 3$	s	no	yes
$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(p)$	$n = p + 2\,,\ p \geqslant 3$	r	no	yes
$\mathfrak{so}(4)$	$n = 5$	s	no	yes
$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(p) = \mathfrak{u}(p)$	$n = 2p\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p \neq 4$	r	no	yes
$\mathfrak{so}(p) \times \mathfrak{so}(q)$	$n = pq\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 3\,,\ p\,,q \neq 4$	s	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{sp}(2p) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2q)$	$n = 4pq\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$	s	yes	yes
$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{so}(p)$	$n = pl\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 3$	s	no	no
$\mathbb{R}^l$	$n = 2l\,,\ l \geqslant 3$	a	no	no
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{so}(p)$	$n = p^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p \neq 4$	s	yes	no
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{sp}(2p)$	$n = (2p)^l\,,\ l \geqslant 4\,,\ l$ even, $p \geqslant 1$	s	yes	no
$\mathfrak{so}(p) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2)$	$n = 4p\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p \neq 4$	s	yes	no

Table 2: Non-simple primitive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ $(n \geqslant 5)$

for avoiding repetitions, most of which are due to well-known canonical isomorphisms between classical Lie algebras of low rank:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{su}(1) &= \{0\} \\
\mathfrak{so}(1) &= \{0\} \\
\mathfrak{so}(3) &= \mathfrak{su}(2) \\
\mathfrak{sp}(2) &= \mathfrak{su}(2) \\
\mathfrak{sp}(4) &= \mathfrak{so}(5) \\
\mathfrak{so}(2) &= \mathbb{R} \\
\mathfrak{so}(4) &= \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \\
\mathfrak{so}(6) &= \mathfrak{su}(4)
\end{aligned}$$

The third column indicates the intrinsic nature of the subalgebra by classifying it into three types, as above: abelian (a), truly semisimple (s) and truly reductive (r). The

subalgebra	conditions	type	irreducible	maximal
$\mathfrak{sp}(2p) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2q)$	$n = 2(p+q)\,,\ p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$	s	no	yes
$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(p) = \mathfrak{u}(p)$	$n = 2p\,,\ p \geqslant 2$	r	no	yes
$\mathfrak{sp}(2p) \times \mathfrak{so}(q)$	$n = 2pq\,,\ p \geqslant 1\,,\ q \geqslant 3\,,\ q \neq 4$	s	yes	yes
$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{sp}(2p)$	$n = 2pl\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 1$	s	no	no
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} \mathfrak{sp}(2p)$	$n = (2p)^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ l$ odd, $p \geqslant 1$	s	yes	no
$\mathfrak{sp}(2p) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2) \times \mathfrak{sp}(2)$	$n = 8p\,,\ p \geqslant 2$	s	yes	no

Table 3: Non-simple primitive subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ (n even, $n \geqslant 4$)

fourth column indicates the type of inclusion: irreducible or reducible. Finally, the last column indicates whether the subalgebra is maximal or not. Actually the tables are already divided into two parts: in the first part, we list the maximal subalgebras and in the second part, we list the primitive non-maximal subalgebras.

The main reason for organizing these data in this form is for better reference, for instance in the next section.

4 Maximal Subgroups of Classical Groups

Our goal in this last section is to compute the normalizers, within the corresponding classical groups G, of the non-simple primitive subalgebras listed in the last section. The final results are summarized in Table 4 for the group $SU(n)$, in Tables 5 and 6 for the group $SO(n)$ and in Table 7 for the group $Sp\,(n)$. For each maximal subgroup H of G, we list in the first column the isomorphism type of the connected one-component H_0 of H and in the second column the group of components H/H_0, taking into account that H must be the normalizer $N_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G. This information completely characterizes the non-simple maximal subgroups of the classical Lie groups.

To carry out the concrete calculations, we shall employ two tools. The first tool consists in the introduction of an "intermediary subgroup" between H_0 and H, which will be denoted by H_i and will allow us to control the size and the structure of H/H_0.

Suppose G is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and H is a maximal subgroup of G with connected one-component H_0 and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the particular case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple – which is the case of interest here – this is equivalent to assuming that $\mathfrak{h} \neq \{0\}$. According to Proposition 2.1, H is then equal to the normalizer $N_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G.

Now consider the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G and define H_i to be the closed subgroup of G generated by the two closed subgroups H_0 and $Z_G(H_0)$ of G:

$$H_i = H_0 \, Z_G(H_0) = Z_G(H_0) \, H_0 \ . \tag{17}$$

Then we have[4]

$$H_0 \lhd H_i \lhd H \ . \tag{18}$$

Considering the action of H on H_0 by conjugation in G (recall that $N_G(H_0)$ is defined as the set of elements g of G such that conjugation by g leaves H_0 invariant), H_i consists of those elements of H that act as inner automorphisms, while $Z_G(H_0)$ consists of those elements of H that act trivially. Also note that H, H_i and H_0 all have the same Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ (since this is true for H_0 and H) and thus the quotient groups H/H_0, H/H_i and H_i/H_0 are discrete. Moreover, by the third isomorphism theorem, we have

$$H/H_i \ = \ \frac{H/H_0}{H_i/H_0} \ . \tag{19}$$

If G is compact, the above mentioned discrete groups are in fact finite and their orders satisfy the relation

$$|H/H_0| \ = \ |H_i/H_0| \, |H/H_i| \ . \tag{20}$$

In almost all cases of interest, we may use additional properties to extract more information about the structure of H_i/H_0 and of H/H_i, and hence of H/H_0 as well.

- H_i/H_0 : Since $H_0 \cap Z_G(H_0) = Z(H_0)$, the second isomorphism theorem implies

  $$H_i/H_0 \ \cong \ Z_G(H_0) \, / \, Z(H_0) \ . \tag{21}$$

  Moreover, the inclusion $Z(G) \subset Z_G(H_0)$ is valid in general. Conversely, if G is a classical group and the inclusion of H_0 in G is an irreducible representation, then by Schur's lemma we have $Z_G(H_0) \subset (\mathbb{K} \cdot 1) \cap G \subset Z(G)$ and thus

  $$Z_G(H_0) \ = \ Z(G) \quad , \quad H_i/H_0 \ \cong \ Z(G) \, / \, Z(H_0) \ . \tag{22}$$

  Finally, we note that if $\mathfrak{h}$ has maximal rank, that is, if H_0 contains a maximal torus T of G, then $Z_G(H_0) \subset T \subset H_0$ and therefore the quotient group H_i/H_0 is trivial.

---

[4] As usual, the symbol "$\lhd$" is to be read as "is normal subgroup of".

- H/H_i : This quotient group may identified with the group $\mathrm{Out}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ of those outer automorphisms of $\mathfrak{h}$ that can be extended to inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ and thus can be implemented by conjugation by elements of the ambient group G. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is semisimple, it may also be identified with the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ of those automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\mathfrak{h}$ that can be extended to inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ and thus can be implemented by conjugation by elements of the ambient group G:

$$H/H_i \;\cong\; \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) \; . \tag{23}$$

Remark 4.1 According to the relation (19), the group H/H_0 is an upwards extension of the group H_i/H_0 by the group H/H_i, but simple examples show that this extension is not necessarily split, that is, a semi-direct product. ◇

The second tool is given by the following lemma, which is used to handle the reducible inclusions.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be one of the classical groups $SU(n)$, $SO(n)$ or $Sp(n)$ and accordingly, let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let v and w be any two vectors in $\mathbb{K}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exist $A_0 \in G$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$w \;=\; \lambda\big(A_0 - 1_n\big)v \; .$$

Proof: Suppose first that $G = SO(n)$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. If v and w are linearly dependent, namely $w = \mu v$, we choose A_0 such that $A_0 v = -v$ and the restriction of A_0 to the orthogonal complement of the 1-dimensional subspace generated by v and w is a reflection; then take $\lambda = -\mu/2$. If v and w are linearly independent, define A_0 to be a rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

on the 2-dimensional subspace generated by v and w and to be the identity on its orthogonal complement. More explicitly, putting $v_0 = v/|v|$, $w_0 = w/|w|$ and defining ϕ by $\cos\phi = v_0 \cdot w_0$, we can employ the vectors v_0 and $(w_0 - \cos\phi\, v_0)/\sin\phi$ as an orthonormal basis in this subspace to obtain

$$A_0 v_0 \;=\; \cos\alpha\, v_0 \,+\, \sin\alpha\, \frac{w_0 - \cos\phi\, v_0}{\sin\phi}$$

$$A_0\, \frac{w_0 - \cos\phi\, v_0}{\sin\phi} \;=\; -\sin\alpha\, v_0 \,+\, \cos\alpha\, \frac{w_0 - \cos\phi\, v_0}{\sin\phi}$$

and hence

$$\big(A_0 - 1_n\big)v \;=\; \Big(\cos\alpha \,-\, \frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\phi}\,\sin\alpha \,-\, 1\Big)v \,+\, \frac{\sin\alpha}{\sin\phi}\,\frac{|v|}{|w|}\,w \; .$$

The first term in this expression vanishes if we choose $\alpha = 2\phi - \pi$, and taking λ such that $\lambda^{-1} = -2\cos\phi\,|v|/|w|$, the conclusion of the lemma for this case follows.

Suppose now that $G = SU(n)$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $G = Sp\,(n)$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$. To handle these two cases, we identify $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ and must modify the construction of A_0 in the previous argument in such a way that A_0 commutes with the operation of multiplication by i in the complex case and with the three operations of multiplication by i, j and k in the quaternionic case, since $SU(n) = SO(2n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $Sp\,(2n) = SO(4n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{H})$. If v and w are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{K}$, that is, $w = \mu v$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, it suffices to choose A_0 such that $A_0(v) = -v$, $A_0(iv) = -iv$ and $A_0 = \mathrm{id}$ on the orthogonal complement of the real 2-dimensional subspace generated by v and iv, in the complex case, and such that $A_0(v) = -v$, $A_0(iv) = -iv$, $A_0(jv) = -jv$, $A_0(kv) = -kv$ and $A_0 = \mathrm{id}$ on the orthogonal complement of the real 4-dimensional subspace generated by v, iv, jv and kv, in the quaternionic case. If v and w are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$, A_0 is defined as the rotation introduced above not only on the real 2-dimensional subspace generated by v and w but also on the real 2-dimensional subspace generated by iv and iw, and as the identity only on the orthogonal complement of the real subspace generated by v, iv, w and iw, in the complex case, and is defined as the rotation introduced above not only on the real 2-dimensional subspace generated by v and w but also on the three real 2-dimensional subspaces generated by iv and iw, by jv and jw and by kv and kw, and as the identity only on the orthogonal complement of the real subspace generated by v, iv, jv, kv, w, iw, jw and kw, in the quaternionic case. $\square$

With these generalities out of the way, we proceed to the case by case analysis of each of the entries of Tables 4–7.

4.1 Maximal Subgroups of $SU(n)$

1. The inclusion

$$S\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big) \;\subset\; SU(n) \qquad (n = p + q)$$

is given by the direct sum of the defining representations of $U(p)$ and $U(q)$. More precisely, write any vector z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as a block column vector $z = \binom{x}{y}$ with column vectors x in $\mathbb{C}^p$ and y in $\mathbb{C}^q$. Then $(A, B) \in U(p) \times U(q)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ according to $(A, B) \cdot z = \binom{Ax}{By}$, and this action induces an injective homomorphism of $U(p) \times U(q)$ into $U(n)$. The inverse image of $SU(n) \subset U(n)$ under this inclusion is the connected subgroup

$$H_0 \;=\; S\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big) \;=\; \{\, (A, B) \in U(p) \times U(q) \mid \det(A)\det(B) = 1 \,\} \;.$$

The restriction of the above homomorphism to H_0 provides the desired inclusion into G. Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we write $(n \times n)$ matrices as (2×2) blocks whose entries are, respectively, $(p \times p)$, $(p \times q)$, $(q \times p)$ and $(q \times q)$ matrices; then arbitrary elements h_0 of H_0 and h of H take the form

$$h_0 ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_0 \end{pmatrix} ~~,~~ h ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} ~~\text{with}~~ h^\dagger ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A^\dagger & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger & D^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ~.$$

The condition $hh^\dagger = 1_n$ reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} AA^\dagger + BB^\dagger & AC^\dagger + BD^\dagger \\ CA^\dagger + DB^\dagger & CC^\dagger + DD^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1_q \end{pmatrix} ~.$$

On the other hand, the condition $hh_0h^\dagger \in H_0$ reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} AA_0A^\dagger + BD_0B^\dagger & AA_0C^\dagger + BD_0D^\dagger \\ CA_0A^\dagger + DD_0B^\dagger & CA_0C^\dagger + DD_0D^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A_0' & 0 \\ 0 & D_0' \end{pmatrix} ~.$$

Since this equality should hold for every $A_0 \in U(p)$ and every $D_0 \in U(q)$ with $\det(A_0)\det(D_0) = 1$, we can pick $D_0 = 1_q$ to obtain

$$A\big(A_0 - 1_p\big)C^\dagger ~=~ 0 \quad,\quad C\big(A_0 - 1_p\big)A^\dagger ~=~ 0 \qquad \text{for every}~ A_0 \in SU(p) ~,$$

and, similarly, pick $A_0 = 1_p$ to obtain

$$B\big(D_0 - 1_q\big)D^\dagger ~=~ 0 \quad,\quad D\big(D_0 - 1_q\big)B^\dagger ~=~ 0 \qquad \text{for every}~ D_0 \in SU(q) ~.$$

By Lemma 4.1, this implies that $A = 0$ or $C = 0$ and that $B = 0$ or $D = 0$. Also, it is not possible to have $A = 0$ and $B = 0$ or $C = 0$ and $D = 0$, since this would force the determinant of h to vanish. Therefore, it follows that the elements h of H must be of the form

$$h ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad h ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} ~,$$

with $p = q$ in the second case, since otherwise there is no way to satisfy the condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} BB^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & CC^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1_q \end{pmatrix} ~,$$

because the rank of $BB^\dagger$ and of $CC^\dagger$ cannot exceed the smaller of the two numbers p and q. In the first case, it follows that $h \in H_0$, and thus $H = H_0$, $H/H_0 = \{1\}$ if $p \neq q$. In the second case, we may write h as the product of an element of H_0 with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -C & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} ~.$$

Now this matrix belongs to $SU(n)$ $(n = 2p)$ and its square

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} -1_p & 0 \\ 0 & -1_p \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to H_0, so we may conclude that $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = q$.

2. The inclusion

$$SU(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_d} SU(q) ~\subset~ SU(n) \qquad (n = pq)$$

is given by the tensor product of the defining representations of $U(p)$ and $U(q)$, and $d = \gcd(p, q)$ is the greatest common divisor of p and q. More precisely, write vectors z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as tensors, among which we have the decomposable tensors $z = x \otimes y$ built from vectors x in $\mathbb{C}^p$ and y in $\mathbb{C}^q$. Then $(A, B) \in U(p) \times U(q)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ according to $(A, B) \cdot (x \otimes y) = Ax \otimes By$, and this action induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(p) \times U(q) & \longrightarrow & U(n) \\ (A, B) & \longmapsto & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

which, unlike the situation encountered in the previous item, is not injective but rather has a non-trivial kernel given by

$$\{\, (\exp(i\alpha) 1_p \,, \exp(-i\alpha) 1_q) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \,\} ~\cong~ U(1) ~.$$

Using the formula

$$\det(A \otimes B) ~=~ (\det(A))^q \, (\det(B))^p ~,$$

we see that the inverse image of $SU(n) \subset U(n)$ under this homomorphism is the subgroup

$$S'\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big) ~=~ \{\, (A, B) \in U(p) \times U(q) ~|~ \det(A)^q \det(B)^p = 1 \,\} ~.$$

and the restricted homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S'\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big) & \longrightarrow & SU(n) \\ (A, B) & \longmapsto & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

still has the same kernel

$$\{\, (\exp(i\alpha) 1_p \,, \exp(-i\alpha) 1_q) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \,\} ~\cong~ U(1) ~.$$

Its intersection with the connected subgroup $SU(p) \times SU(q)$ is

$$\{\, (\exp(2\pi i k/d) 1_p \,, \exp(-2\pi i k/d) 1_q) \mid 0 \leqslant k < d \,\} ~\cong~ \mathbb{Z}_d ~.$$

Factoring out the kernel, we obtain the desired inclusion. Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in the quotient group $S'\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big)/U(1)$ which is generated by $Z(G)$ and by the quotient group $\big(SU(p) \times SU(q)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_d$; hence

$$H_0 \;\cong\; \big(SU(p) \times SU(q)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_d \;,$$

and

$$H_i \;\cong\; S'\big(U(p) \times U(q)\big)/U(1) \;,$$

so according to equation (22),

$$H_i/H_0 \;\cong\; Z(G)/Z(H_0) \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_n/\mathbb{Z}_{n/d} \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_d \;.$$

Explicitly, a representative of the connected component of H_i corresponding to $k \mod d$ is given by the matrix $\exp(2\pi ik/n)\, 1_n$, since when k is a multiple of d, k/n will be a multiple of d/n which can be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{n} \;=\; \frac{r}{p} + \frac{s}{q}$$

(where r and s are chosen such that $rq' + sp' = 1$ with $p' = p/d$ and $q' = q/d$, which is possible since p' and q' are relatively prime) and therefore $\exp(2\pi ik/n)\, 1_n \in H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we first note that (for $p \geqslant 3$) the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{su}(p)$ admits only one automorphism which can be implemented by an anti-linear involution σ_p in $\mathbb{C}^p$. Since the tensor product of linear / anti-linear maps is a linear / anti-linear map and the tensor product between a linear map and an anti-linear map is not well defined, we see that there is only one non-trivial automorphism of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\mathfrak{su}(p) \times \mathfrak{su}(q)$ induced by automorphisms of the Dynkin diagrams of the factors which can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, namely the one implemented by an anti-linear involution of the form $\sigma_p \otimes \sigma_q$, but this will always be an outer automorphism of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$. Thus it is clear that for $p \neq q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{1\}$, while for $p = q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ can at most be equal to the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, corresponding to the possibility of switching the factors in the tensor product that exists in this case. Explicitly, a representative of the corresponding connected component of H is given by the transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \\ z = x \otimes y & \longmapsto & z^\tau = \exp(i\phi_p)\, y \otimes x \end{array} ,$$

which belongs to $SU(n)$ $(n = p^2)$ if we choose the phase $\exp(i\phi_p)$ according to

$$\exp(i\phi_p) \;=\; \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} +1 \text{ or } -1 & \text{if} & p = 0 \mod 4 \\ +1 & \text{if} & p = 1 \mod 4 \\ \exp(i\pi/4) & \text{if} & p = 2 \mod 4 \\ -1 & \text{if} & p = 3 \mod 4 \end{array} \right. ,$$

since the permutation which maps $x \otimes y$ to $y \otimes x$, when written in a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ provided by a basis of $\mathbb{C}^p$ by taking tensor products between vectors of the latter, is the product of $\binom{p}{2} = p(p-1)/2$ transpositions

$$e_i \otimes e_j \longleftrightarrow e_j \otimes e_i \qquad (1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant p)$$

with p fixed points $e_i \otimes e_i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$ and hence is an involution with determinant $(-1)^{p(p-1)/2}$. Therefore, we conclude that for $p = q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Finally, the structure of the group H/H_0 in this case can be deduced by observing that for $p \neq 2 \mod 4$, the map $.^\tau$ has order 2 and hence H/H_0 is the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, whereas for $p = 2 \mod 4$, i.e., $p = 2r$ with r odd, it has order 8, but its square coincides with the matrix $\exp(2\pi i r^2/p^2)\, 1_n = i\, 1_n$ which represents one of the non-trivial connected components of H_i, and the square of the latter belongs to H_0, so it follows that H/H_0 is a non-split upward extension of the group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ($H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p \,.\, \mathbb{Z}_2$) which can be explicitly constructed as the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}_p \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_4$.

3. Generalizing the procedure of the first item, we define the inclusion

$$S\big(U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p)\big) \;\subset\; SU(n) \qquad (n = pl)$$

by the direct sum of l copies of the defining representation of $U(p)$, writing vectors z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as block column vectors composed of l column vectors in $\mathbb{C}^p$. The inverse image of $SU(n) \subset U(n)$ under the corresponding inclusion

$$U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p) \;\subset\; U(n)$$

is the connected subgroup

$$H_0 \;=\; S\big(U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p)\big)\;.$$

Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_0; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we write $(n \times n)$ matrices as $(l \times l)$ blocks whose entries are $(p \times p)$ matrices; then arbitrary elements h_0 of H_0 and h of H take the form

$$h_0 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & A_l \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$h \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \ldots & A_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{l1} & \ldots & A_{ll} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad h^\dagger \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger & \ldots & A_{l1}^\dagger \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{1l}^\dagger & \ldots & A_{ll}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}\;.$$

By the same argument as in the first item, it then follows that for any three indices i, j, k with $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant l$ and $i \neq j$, we have $A_{ik} = 0$ or $A_{jk} = 0$ and hence there is a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that

$$A_{ik} \;=\; 0 \quad \text{if } \; i \neq \sigma(k) \;,$$

whereas

$$\det\bigl(A_{\sigma(k)\,k}\bigr) \;\neq\; 0 \;.$$

When σ is the transposition τ_{12} ($\tau_{12}(1) = 2$, $\tau_{12}(2) = 1$, $\tau_{12}(i) = i$ for $i \geqslant 3$), say, we can write h_σ as the product of an element of H_0 with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ -1_p & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_p & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1_p \end{pmatrix}$$

which belongs to $SU(n)$ and whose square belongs to H_0. Therefore, it follows that $H/H_0 = S_l$.

4. Generalizing the procedure of the second item, we define the inclusion

$$\bigl(SU(p) \times \ldots \times SU(p)\bigr)/\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1} \;\subset\; SU(n) \qquad (n = p^l)$$

by the tensor product of l copies of the defining representation of $U(p)$, writing vectors z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as tensors of degree l on $\mathbb{C}^p$. This inclusion is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p) & \longrightarrow & U(n) \\ (A_1, \ldots, A_l) & \longmapsto & A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l \end{array}$$

which is not injective but rather has a non-trivial kernel given by

$$\{\, (\exp(i\alpha_1)\, 1_p\,, \ldots, \exp(i\alpha_l)\, 1_p) \mid \exp(i(\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_l)) = 1 \,\} \;\cong\; U(1)^{l-1} \;.$$

Using the formula

$$\det\bigl(A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l\bigr) \;=\; \bigl(\det(A_1)\,\ldots\,\det(A_l)\bigr)^{p^{\,l-1}} \;,$$

we see that the inverse image of $SU(n) \subset U(n)$ under this homomorphism is the subgroup

$$S'\bigl(U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p)\bigr) \;=\; \begin{array}{l} \{\, (A_1, \ldots, A_l) \in U(p) \times \ldots \times U(p) \mid \\ \qquad\qquad \bigl(\det(A_1)\,\ldots\,\det(A_l)\bigr)^{p^{\,l-1}} = 1 \,\} \;, \end{array}$$

and the restricted homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S'\big(U(p)\times\ldots\times U(p)\big) & \longrightarrow & SU(n) \\ (A_1,\ldots,A_l) & \longmapsto & A_1\otimes\ldots\otimes A_l \end{array}$$

still has the same kernel

$$\{\,(\exp(i\alpha_1)\,1_p\,,\ldots,\exp(i\alpha_l)\,1_p)\mid\,\exp(i(\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_l))=1\,\}\;\cong\;U(1)^{l-1}\;.$$

Its intersection with the connected subgroup $SU(p)\times\ldots\times SU(p)$ is

$$\{(\exp(2\pi ik_1/p)\,1_p\,,\ldots,\exp(2\pi ik_l/p)\,1_p)\mid\,\exp(2\pi i(k_1+\ldots+k_l)/p)=1\}\;\cong\;\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1}\;.$$

Factoring out the kernel, we obtain the desired inclusion. Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in the quotient group $S'\big(U(p)\times\ldots\times U(p)\big)/U(1)^{l-1}$ which is generated by $Z(G)$ and by the quotient group $\big(SU(p)\times\ldots\times SU(p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1}$; hence

$$H_0\;\cong\;\big(SU(p)\times\ldots\times SU(p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1}\;,$$

and

$$H_i\;\cong\;S'\big(U(p)\times\ldots\times U(p)\big)/U(1)^{l-1}\;,$$

so according to equation (22),

$$H_i/H_0\;\cong\;Z(G)/Z(H_0)\;\cong\;\mathbb{Z}_n/\mathbb{Z}_p\;\cong\;\mathbb{Z}_{p^{l-1}}\;.$$

Explicitly, a representative of the connected component of H_i corresponding to $k \mod p^{l-1}$ is given by the matrix $\exp(2\pi ik/n)\,1_n$, since when k is a multiple of p^{l-1}, $\exp(2\pi ik/n)\,1_n\in H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we observe, as before, that there is no automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{su}(p)\times\ldots\times\mathfrak{su}(p)$ induced by automorphisms of the Dynkin diagrams of the factors that can be extended to an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$. Now it is clear that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ can at most be equal to the symmetric group S_l, corresponding to the possibility of permuting the l factors in the tensor product. But for $l\geqslant 3$, we can implement any transposition through an involution of determinant $+1$ since, for example, the determinant of the transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \\ z=x_1\otimes x_2\otimes x_3\otimes\ldots\otimes x_l & \longmapsto & z^{\tau_{12}}=\pm\,x_2\otimes x_1\otimes x_3\otimes\ldots\otimes x_l \end{array}\;,$$

which represents the transposition τ_{12} ($\tau_{12}(1)=2$, $\tau_{12}(2)=1$, $\tau_{12}(i)=i$ for $i\geqslant 3$) is $(-1)^{p^{l-1}(p\mp 1)/2}$, and this is equal to $+1$ when p is even and, with an appropriate choice of sign, also when p is odd. Therefore it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})=S_l$ and that H/H_0 is the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{l-1}}\times S_l$.

4.2 Maximal Subgroups of $SO(n)$

1. The inclusion
$$SO(p) \times SO(q) \;\subset\; SO(n) \qquad (n = p + q)$$
is given by the direct sum of the defining representations of $O(p)$ and $O(q)$. More precisely, write any vector z in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a block column vector $z = \binom{x}{y}$ with column vectors x in $\mathbb{R}^p$ and y in $\mathbb{R}^q$. Then $(A, B) \in O(p) \times O(q)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ according to $(A, B) \cdot z = \binom{Ax}{By}$, and this action induces an injective homomorphism of $O(p) \times O(q)$ into $O(n)$. The inverse image of $SO(n) \subset O(n)$ under this inclusion is the subgroup
$$H_+ \;=\; S\big(O(p) \times O(q)\big) \;=\; \{\, (A, B) \in O(p) \times O(q) \mid \det(A) \det(B) = 1 \,\}\ ,$$
which has two connected components. The one-component of H_+ is the subgroup
$$H_0 \;=\; SO(p) \times SO(q) \;=\; \{\, (A, B) \in O(p) \times O(q) \mid \det(A) = 1 = \det(B) \,\}\ ,$$
while the other component is
$$\{\, (A, B) \in O(p) \times O(q) \mid \det(A) = -1 = \det(B) \,\}\ .$$
The restriction of the above homomorphism to H_0 provides the desired inclusion into G. Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_+ and that H_+ is generated by $Z_G(H_0)$ and H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_+$.

   In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$, using Lemma 4.1 to conclude that the elements h of H are of the form
$$h \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad h \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}\ ,$$
with $p = q$ in the second case. In the first case, it follows that $h \in H_+$, and thus $H = H_+$, $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p \neq q$. In the second case, we may, again as in the case of $SU(n)$, write h as the product of an element of H_+ with the matrix
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
which belongs to $SO(n)$ $(n = 2p)$ and whose square
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p \\ -1_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} -1_p & 0 \\ 0 & -1_p \end{pmatrix}$$
belongs to H_+ but belongs to H_0 only when p is even, so we may conclude that $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = q$ is even and $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_4$ if $p = q$ is odd.

2. Similarly, considering the inclusion

$$SO(4) \ \subset \ SO(5) \ ,$$

and more generally the inclusion

$$SO(n-1) \ \subset \ SO(n) \ ,$$

we observe that the normalizer of the connected subgroup

$$H_0 \ = \ \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(n-1) \ , \ \det(A) = +1 \} \ \cong \ SO(n-1)$$

in $SO(n)$ is the subgroup

$$H_+ \ = \ \begin{array}{c} \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(n-1) \ , \ \det(A) = +1 \} \\ \cup \\ \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(n-1) \ , \ \det(A) = -1 \} \end{array} \ \cong \ O(n-1) \ .$$

3. The inclusion

$$U(p) \ \subset \ SO(n) \qquad (n = 2p)$$

is more easily handled by using results about the covering index of compact symmetric spaces (see [16], Chapter 10, Problem C4, p. 526)[5] for the series $D\,III$, which leads to the conclusion that the normalizer of $\mathfrak{u}(p)$ in the group $Spin(n)$ $(n = 2p)$ is connected if p is odd and has two connected components if p is even. The fact that the same result holds if we substitute the simply connected group $Spin(n)$ by the special orthogonal group $SO(n)$ can be derived by noting that the involutive automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ which has the subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}(p)$ as its fixed point subalgebra can be lifted to an involutive automorphism of the group $SO(n)$ and hence is the identity on the kernel $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of the covering homomorphism from $Spin(n)$ onto $SO(n)$.

4. The inclusions

$$\begin{array}{rcll} SO(p) \times SO(q) & \subset & SO(n) & \qquad (n = pq\,, p \text{ or } q \text{ odd}) \\ SO(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q) & \subset & SO(n) & \qquad (n = pq\,, p \text{ and } q \text{ even}) \end{array}$$

are given by the tensor product of the defining representations of $O(p)$ and $O(q)$. More precisely, write vectors z in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as tensors, among which we have the decomposable tensors $z = x \otimes y$ built from vectors x in $\mathbb{R}^p$ and y in $\mathbb{R}^q$. Then

---

[5] The same approach could also have been adopted in the previous two items, but we have preferred to give a direct proof, essentially for the sake of clarity.

$(A,B) \in O(p) \times O(q)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ according to $(A,B) \cdot (x \otimes y) = Ax \otimes By$, and this action induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O(p) \times O(q) & \longrightarrow & O(n) \\ (A,B) & \longmapsto & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

which, unlike the situation encountered in the first item, is not injective but rather has a non-trivial kernel given by

$$\{(1_p\,,1_q),(-1_p\,,-1_q)\} \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_2\ .$$

Using the formula

$$\det(A \otimes B) \;=\; (\det(A))^q\,(\det(B))^p\ ,$$

we see that the inverse image of $SO(n) \subset O(n)$ under this homomorphism is the subgroup

$$S'\big(O(p) \times O(q)\big) \;=\; \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} S\big(O(p) \times O(q)\big) & \text{if} & p \text{ odd} & , & q \text{ odd} \\ O(p) \times SO(q) & \text{if} & p \text{ odd} & , & q \text{ even} \\ SO(p) \times O(q) & \text{if} & p \text{ even} & , & q \text{ odd} \\ O(p) \times O(q) & \text{if} & p \text{ even} & , & q \text{ even} \end{array} \right. .$$

In the first three cases, the group $S'\big(O(p) \times O(q)\big)$ has two connected components such that the kernel of the homomorphism introduced above intersects both components in exactly one element (since $(1_p\,,1_q)$ and $(-1_p\,,-1_q)$ belong to different connected components), so the restriction of this homomorphism to the connected one-component provides the desired inclusion. In the last case, the group $S'\big(O(p) \times O(q)\big)$ has four connected components, but the kernel of the homomorphism introduced above is contained in the connected one-component (since $(1_p\,,1_q)$ and $(-1_p\,,-1_q)$ belong to the same connected component), so it is necessary to factor out the kernel in order to obtain the following sequence of inclusions:

$$SO(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q) \;\subset\; O(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O(q) \;\subset\; SO(n)\ .$$

Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we first note that (for p even, $p \geqslant 6$) the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{so}(p)$ admits only one non-trivial automorphism which can be implemented by a reflection σ_p in $\mathbb{R}^p$.[6] Computing determinants, we see that the automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\mathfrak{so}(p) \times \mathfrak{so}(q)$ induced by automorphisms of the Dynkin diagrams of the factors can always be extended to automorphisms of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and that these will be outer automorphisms (implemented by a matrix in $O(n)$ that does not belong to $SO(n)$) if p or q is odd but will be inner automorphisms (implemented by a matrix in $SO(n)$) if p and q are even. Thus it is clear that for $p \neq q$,

---

[6] In the case of $\mathfrak{so}(8)$, there are other non-trivial automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram, but these cannot be implemented by linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^8$.

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) ~=~ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \{1\} & \text{if} & p \neq q,\, p \text{ or } q \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if} & p \neq q,\, p \text{ and } q \text{ even} \end{array} \right. ,$$

while for $p = q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ may, besides this, contain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ group as an additional factor, corresponding to the possibility of switching the factors in the tensor product that exists in this case. Explicitly, a representative of the corresponding connected component of H is given by the transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \\ z = x \otimes y & \longmapsto & z^\tau = \pm\, y \otimes x \end{array} ,$$

which, by the same argument employed in the case of $SU(n)$, is an involution with determinant $(-1)^{p(p \mp 1)/2}$ and thus belongs to $SO(n)$, provided we make an adequate choice of sign, except when $p = 2 \mod 4$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = q$ is odd, to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = 2 \mod 4$ and to $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) : \mathbb{Z}_2$ (or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$) if $p = 0 \mod 4$. Note that, in the last case, the structure of this group as a semi-direct product is given by conjugation with the transformation $.^\tau$ which maps $A \otimes B$ to $B \otimes A$ and thus acts on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by switching the factors.

5. The inclusion

$$Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp\,(2q) ~\subset~ SO(n) \qquad (n = 4pq)$$

is given by the tensor product of the defining representations of $Sp\,(2p)$ and $Sp\,(2q)$. Indeed, noting that the tensor product of two pseudo-real/quaternionic representations is real, we can proceed in the same way as in the previous item, though with some simplifications: the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sp\,(2p) \times Sp\,(2q) & \longrightarrow & O(n) \\ (A, B) & \longmapsto & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

always has image contained in $G = SO(n)$ and the same kernel as before:

$$\{(1_{2p}\,, 1_{2q}), (-1_{2p}\,, -1_{2q})\} ~\cong~ \mathbb{Z}_2 ~.$$

Therefore, it provides the desired inclusion of the corresponding quotient group. Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we note that for $p \neq q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{1\}$, while for $p = q$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ can at most be equal to the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, corresponding to the possibility of switching the factors in the tensor product that exists in this case. The argument to decide whether this switch operator has determinant $+1$ or -1 is the same as before and leads to the conclusion that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{1\}$ if $p = q$ is odd and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = q$ is even.

6. Generalizing the procedure of item 1, we define the inclusion

$$SO(p) \times \ldots \times SO(p) \;\subset\; SO(n) \qquad (n = p\,l)$$

by the direct sum of l copies of the defining representation of $O(p)$, writing vectors z in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as block column vectors composed of l column vectors in $\mathbb{R}^p$. The inverse image of $SO(n) \subset O(n)$ under the corresponding inclusion

$$O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p) \;\subset\; O(n)$$

is the subgroup

$$H_+ \;=\; S\big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big) \;,$$

which has 2^{l-1} connected components, its one-component being the subgroup

$$H_0 \;=\; SO(p) \times \ldots \times SO(p) \;.$$

Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_+ and that H_+ is generated by $Z_G(H_0)$ and H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_+$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$ to conclude that the elements of H take the form

$$h_\sigma \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \ldots & A_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{l1} & \ldots & A_{ll} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma \in S_l$ and

$$A_{ik} \;=\; 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq \sigma(k) \;,$$

whereas

$$\det\big(A_{\sigma(k)\,k}\big) \;\neq\; 0 \;.$$

When σ is the transposition τ_{12} ($\tau_{12}(1) = 2$, $\tau_{12}(2) = 1$, $\tau_{12}(i) = i$ for $i \geqslant 3$), say, we can write h_σ as the product of an element of H_+ with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ -1_p & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_p & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1_p \end{pmatrix}$$

which belongs to $SO(n)$ and whose square belongs to H_+ but belongs to H_0 only when p is even. Therefore, it follows that H/H_0 is an upwards extension of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}$ by the symmetric group S_l, which is a split extension, that is, a semi-direct product $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} : S_l$ (or $S_l \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}$), if p is even and is a non-split extension $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}.\, S_l$ if p is odd.

7. Generalizing the procedure of item 4, we define the inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SO(p) \times \ldots \times SO(p) \ \subset \ SO(n) & & (n = p^l \,,\, p \text{ odd}) \\ \big(SO(p) \times \ldots \times SO(p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} \ \subset \ SO(n) & & (n = p^l \,,\, p \text{ even}) \end{array}$$

by the tensor product of l copies of the defining representation of $O(p)$, writing vectors z in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as tensors of degree l on $\mathbb{R}^p$. This inclusion is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p) & \longrightarrow & O(n) \\ (A_1, \ldots, A_l) & \longmapsto & A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l \end{array}$$

which is not injective but rather has a non-trivial kernel given by

$$\{\, (\epsilon_1 1_p \,, \ldots, \epsilon_l 1_p) \mid \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l = \pm 1 \,,\, \epsilon_1 \ldots \epsilon_l = 1 \,\} \ \cong \ \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} \ .$$

Using the formula

$$\det\big(A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l\big) \ = \ \big(\det(A_1) \, \ldots \, \det(A_l)\big)^{p^{\,l-1}} \ ,$$

we see that the inverse image of $SO(n) \subset O(n)$ under this homomorphism is the subgroup

$$S'\big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} S\big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big) & \text{if} \quad p \text{ odd} \\ O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p) & \text{if} \quad p \text{ even} \end{array} \right. .$$

In the first case, the group $S'\big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big)$ has 2^{l-1} connected components such that the kernel of the homomorphism introduced above intersects each of them in exactly one element, so the restriction of this homomorphism to the connected one-component provides the desired inclusion. In the second case, the group $S'\big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big)$ has 2^l connected components, but the kernel of the homomorphism introduced above is contained in the connected one-component, so it is necessary to factor out the kernel in order to obtain the following sequence of inclusions:

$$\big(SO(p) \times \ldots \times SO(p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} \ \subset \ \big(O(p) \times \ldots \times O(p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} \ \subset \ SO(n) \ .$$

Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we note, as before, that every non-trivial automorphism of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\mathfrak{so}(p) \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{so}(p)$ induced by non-trivial automorphisms of the Dynkin diagrams of the factors (note that these exist only when p is even) can be extended to an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ will contain a subgroup S_l as an additional factor, corresponding to the possibility of switching the factors in the tensor product. But for $l \geqslant 3$, we can proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$ to implement any transposition through an involution of determinant $+1$. Therefore, it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = S_l$ if p is odd and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{Z}_2^l : S_l$ (or $S_l \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^l$) if p is even. In the last case, the structure of this group is that of a semi-direct product since S_l acts on $\mathbb{Z}_2^l$ by switching the factors.

8. Generalizing the procedure of item 5, we define the inclusion

$$\big(Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p)\big)/\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} \;\subset\; SO(n) \qquad (n = (2p)^l,\ l \text{ even})$$

by the tensor product of l copies of the defining representation of $Sp\,(2p)$. Indeed, noting that the tensor product of l copies of a pseudo-real/quaternionic representation is real if l is even, we can proceed as in the previous item, though with some simplifications: the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p) & \longrightarrow & O(n) \\ (A_1, \ldots, A_l) & \longmapsto & A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l \end{array}$$

always has image contained in $G = SO(n)$ and the same kernel as before:

$$\{\, (\epsilon_1 1_{2p}\,, \ldots, \epsilon_l 1_{2p}) \mid \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l = \pm 1\,,\ \epsilon_1 \ldots \epsilon_l = 1 \,\} \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}\ .$$

Therefore, it provides the required inclusion of the corresponding quotient group. Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we note, as before, that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ can at most be equal to the symmetric group S_l, corresponding to the possibility of permuting the factors in the tensor product. But for $l \geqslant 3$, we can proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$ to implement any transposition through an involution of determinant $+1$. Therefore, we conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = S_l$.

4.3 Maximal Subgroups of $Sp\,(n)$ (n even)

1. The inclusion

   $$Sp\,(2p) \times Sp\,(2q) \;\subset\; Sp\,(n) \qquad (n = 2(p+q))$$

   is given by the direct sum of the defining representations of $Sp\,(2p)$ and $Sp\,(2q)$. More precisely, write any vector z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as a block column vector $z = \binom{x}{y}$ with column vectors x in $\mathbb{C}^{2p}$ and y in $\mathbb{C}^{2q}$. Then $(A,B) \in Sp\,(2p) \times Sp\,(2q)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ according to $(A,B) \cdot z = \binom{Ax}{By}$, and this action induces an injective homomorphism which is the desired inclusion of $H_0 = Sp\,(2p) \times Sp\,(2q)$ into $G = Sp\,(n)$. Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

   In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$, using Lemma 4.1 to conclude that the elements h of H are of the form

   $$h \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad h \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

   with $p = q$ in the second case. In the first case, it follows that $h \in H_0$, and thus $H = H_0$, $H/H_0 = \{1\}$ if $p \neq q$. In the second case, we may, again as in the case of $SU(n)$, write h as the product of an element of H_0 with the matrix

   $$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{2p} \\ -1_{2p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

   which belongs to $Sp\,(n)$ $(n = 4p)$ and whose square

   $$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{2p} \\ -1_{2p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} -1_{2p} & 0 \\ 0 & -1_{2p} \end{pmatrix}$$

   belongs to H_0, so we may conclude that $H/H_0 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $p = q$.

2. The inclusion

   $$U(p) \;\subset\; Sp\,(n) \qquad (n = 2p)$$

   is more easily handled by using results about the covering index of compact symmetric spaces (see [16], Chapter 10, Problem C4, p. 526)[7] for the series $C\,I$, which leads to the conclusion that the normalizer of $\mathfrak{u}(p)$ in the group $Sp\,(n)$ $(n = 2p)$ has two connected components.

---

[7] The same approach could also have been adopted in the previous item, but we have preferred to give a direct proof, essentially for the sake of clarity.

3. The inclusions

$$\begin{array}{rclc} Sp\,(2p) \times SO(q) & \subset & Sp\,(n) & \qquad (n = 2pq\,,\ q \text{ odd}) \\ Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q) & \subset & Sp\,(n) & \qquad (n = 2pq\,,\ q \text{ even}) \end{array}$$

   are given by the tensor product of the defining representations of $Sp\,(2p)$ and $O(q)$. Indeed, noting that the tensor product of a pseudo-real/quaternionic representation and a real representation is pseudo-real/quaternionic, we can proceed in the same way as in items 4 and 5 for the case of $SO(n)$: the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sp\,(2p) \times O(q) & \longrightarrow & Sp\,(n) \\ (A,B) & \longmapsto & A \otimes B \end{array}$$

   always has image contained in $G = Sp\,(n)$ and has the same kernel as before:

$$\{(1_{2p}\,, 1_q), (-1_{2p}\,, -1_q)\} \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}_2\ .$$

   On the other hand, the group $Sp\,(2p) \times O(q)$ has two connected components. If q is odd, the kernel of the above homomorphism intersects both components in exactly one element (since $(1_{2p}\,, 1_q)$ and $(-1_{2p}\,, -1_q)$ belong to different connected components), so the restriction of this homomorphism to the connected one-component provides the desired inclusion. If q is even, the kernel of the above homomorphism is contained in the connected one-component (since $(1_{2p}\,, 1_q)$ and $(-1_{2p}\,, -1_q)$ belong to the same connected component), so it is necessary to factor out the kernel in order to obtain the following sequence of inclusions:

$$Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q) \;\subset\; Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} O(q) \;\subset\; Sp\,(n)\ .$$

   Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

   In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we can proceed in the same way as in items 4 and 5 for the case of $SO(n)$ to conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{1\}$ if q is odd and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ if q is even.

4. Generalizing the procedure of the first item, we define the inclusion

$$Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p) \;\subset\; Sp\,(n) \qquad (n = 2p\,l)$$

   by the direct sum of l copies of the defining representation of $Sp\,(2p)$, writing vectors z in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as block column vectors composed of l column vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2p}$, thus obtaining

$$H_0 \;=\; Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p)$$

   as a connected subgroup of $Sp\,(n)$. Note also that the centralizer $Z_G(H_0)$ of H_0 in G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$ to conclude that the elements of H take the form

$$h_\sigma ~=~ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \ldots & A_{1l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{l1} & \ldots & A_{ll} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma \in S_l$ and

$$A_{ik} ~=~ 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq \sigma(k) ~,$$

whereas

$$\det\bigl(A_{\sigma(k)\,k}\bigr) ~\neq~ 0 ~.$$

When σ is the transposition τ_{12} ($\tau_{12}(1) = 2$, $\tau_{12}(2) = 1$, $\tau_{12}(i) = i$ for $i \geqslant 3$), say, we can write h_σ as the product of an element of H_+ with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_p & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ -1_p & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_p & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1_p \end{pmatrix}$$

which belongs to $Sp\,(n)$ and whose square belongs to H_0. Therefore, it follows that $H/H_0 = S_l$.

5. Generalizing the procedure of previous items, we define the inclusion

$$\bigl(Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p)\bigr)/\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} ~\subset~ Sp\,(n) \qquad (n = (2p)^l,\ l \text{ odd})$$

by the tensor product of l copies of the defining representation of $Sp\,(2p)$. Indeed, noting that the tensor product of l copies of a pseudo-real/quaternionic representation is pseudo-real/quaternionic if l is odd, we can proceed as in item 8 for the case of $SO(n)$: the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sp\,(2p) \times \ldots \times Sp\,(2p) & \longrightarrow & Sp\,(n) \\ (A_1, \ldots, A_l) & \longmapsto & A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_l \end{array}$$

always has image contained in $G = Sp\,(n)$ and the same kernel as before:

$$\{\, (\epsilon_1 1_{2p}\,, \ldots, \epsilon_l 1_{2p}) \mid \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l = \pm 1\,,\ \epsilon_1 \ldots \epsilon_l = 1 \,\} ~\cong~ \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} ~.$$

Therefore, it provides the desired inclusion of the corresponding quotient group. Note also that the center $Z(G)$ of G is contained in H_0 ; hence $H_i = H_0$.

In order to compute the normalizer H of the connected subgroup H_0 so defined, we observe that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ can at most be equal to the symmetric group S_l, corresponding to the possibility of switching the factors in the tensor product. But for $l \geqslant 3$, we can, once more, proceed as in the case of $SU(n)$ to implement any transposition through an involution of determinant $+1$. Therefore, we conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) = S_l$.

connected component H_0	component group H/H_0		
$S\big(U(p)\times U(q)\big)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	$\{1\}$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$	for for	$n=p+q\,,\ p>q\geqslant 1$ $n=p+q\,,\ p=q\geqslant 1$
$SU(p)\times_{\mathbb{Z}_d} SU(q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product) $(d=\mathrm{mdc}(p,q))$	$\mathbb{Z}_d$	for	$n=pq\,,\ p>q\geqslant 2$
$SU(p)\times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} SU(p)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_p\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathbb{Z}_4$	for for	$n=p^2\,,\ p\geqslant 2\,,\ p\neq 2 \mod 4$ $n=p^2\,,\ p\geqslant 2\,,\ p=2 \mod 4$
$S\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l} U(p)\right)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	S_l	for	$n=pl\,,\ l\geqslant 3\,,\ p\geqslant 1$
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} SU(p)\,/\,\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1}$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_{p^{l-1}}\times S_l$	for	$n=p^l\,,\ l\geqslant 3\,,\ p\geqslant 2$

Table 4: Non-simple maximal subgroups H of $SU(n)$ $(n\geqslant 2)$

connected component H_0	component group H/H_0		
$SO(p) \times SO(q)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = p + q\,,\; p > q \geqslant 2$
	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	for	$n = p + q\,,\; p = q \geqslant 3$ odd
	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = p + q\,,\; p = q \geqslant 3$ even
$SO(4)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = 5$
$U(p)$	$\{1\}$	for	$n = 2p\,,\; p \geqslant 3\,,\; p$ odd
	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = 2p\,,\; p \geqslant 6\,,\; p$ even
$SO(p) \times SO(q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\{1\}$	for	$n = pq\,,\; p > q \geqslant 3\,,\; p, q \neq 4$ p or q odd
	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = pq\,,\; p = q \geqslant 3$ $p = q$ odd
$SO(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = pq\,,\; p > q \geqslant 6$ p and q even
	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = pq\,,\; p = q \geqslant 6$ $p = q = 2 \mod 4$
	$(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) : \mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = pq\,,\; p = q \geqslant 8$ $p = q = 0 \mod 4$
$Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp\,(2q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\{1\}$	for	$n = 4pq\,,\; p > q \geqslant 1$
	$\{1\}$	for	$n = 4pq\,,\; p = q$ odd
	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = 4pq\,,\; p = q$ even

Table 5: Non-simple maximal subgroups H of $SO(n)$ ($n \geqslant 5$), part 1

connected component H_0	component group H/H_0		
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} SO(p)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} .\, S_l$ $\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1} : S_l$	for for	$n = p\,l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p$ odd $n = p\,l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 2\,,\ p$ even
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} SO(p)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	S_l	for	$n = p^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p$ odd
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} SO(p) \,/\, \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{l} : S_l$	for	$n = p^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 6\,,\ p$ even
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} Sp\,(2p) \,/\, \mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	S_l	for	$n = (2p)^l\,,\ l \geqslant 4\,,\ l$ even, $p \geqslant 1$
$SO(p) \times \big(Sp\,(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp\,(2)\big)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\{1\}$	for	$n = 4p\,,\ p \geqslant 3\,,\ p$ odd
$SO(p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \big(Sp\,(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp\,(2)\big)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	for	$n = 4p\,,\ p \geqslant 6\,,\ p$ even

Table 6: Non-simple maximal subgroups H of $SO(n)$ $(n \geqslant 5)$, part 2

connected component H_0	component group H/H_0
$Sp\,(2p) \times Sp\,(2q)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	$\{1\}$ for $n = 2(p+q)\,,\ p > q \geqslant 1$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n = 2(p+q)\,,\ p = q \geqslant 1$
$U(p)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n = 2p\,,\ p \geqslant 2$
$Sp\,(2p) \times SO(q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\{1\}$ for $n = 2pq\,,\ p \geqslant 1\,,\ q \geqslant 3\,,\ q$ odd
$Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(q)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n = 2pq\,,\ p \geqslant 1\,,\ q \geqslant 6\,,\ q$ even
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} Sp\,(2p)$ (reducible) (direct sum)	S_l for $n = 2pl\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ p \geqslant 1$
$\prod_{k=1}^{l} Sp\,(2p)\,/\,\mathbb{Z}_2^{l-1}$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	S_l for $n = (2p)^l\,,\ l \geqslant 3\,,\ l$ odd, $p \geqslant 1$
$Sp\,(2p) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \big(Sp\,(2) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp\,(2)\big)$ (irreducible) (tensor product)	$\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n = 8p\,,\ p \geqslant 2$

Table 7: Non-simple maximal subgroups H of $Sp\,(n)$ (n even, $n \geqslant 4$)

References

[1] M. Aschbacher & L. Scott: Maximal Subgroups of Finite Groups, J. Algebra **92** (1985) 44–80.

[2] A. Borel & J. de Siebenthal: Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie compacts, Comment. Math. Helv. **23** (1949) 200–221.

[3] I.V. Chekalov: Primitive Subalgebras of Complex Lie Algebras I: Primitive Subalgebras of the Classical Complex Lie Algebras, Pacific J. Math. **158** (1993), 273–292.

[4] B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko & S.P. Novikov: *Modern Geometry – Methods and Applications. Part 1: The Geometry of Surfaces, Transformation Groups and Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1986.

[5] E.B. Dynkin: Semisimple Subalgebras of Semisimple Lie Algebras, AMS Transl. **6** (1957) 111–244.

[6] E.B. Dynkin: Maximal Subgroups of the Classical Groups, AMS Transl. **6** (1957) 245–378.

[7] M. Forger, Y.M.M. Hornos & J.E.M. Hornos: Global Aspects in the Algebraic Approach to the Genetic Code, Phys. Rev. E **56** (1997) 7078–7082.

[8] R.L. Griess, Jr.: Basic Conjugacy Theorems for G_2, Invent. Math. **121** (1995) 257–277.

[9] R.L. Griess,Jr. & A. Ryba: Finite Simple Groups which projectively embed in an Exceptional Lie Group are Classified!, Bull. AMS **36** (1999) 75–93.

[10] R.L. Griess, Jr. & A. Ryba: Classification of Finite Quasisimple Groups which embed in Exceptional Algebraic Groups, J. Group Theory **5** (2002) 1–39.

[11] M. Golubitsky: Primitive Actions and Maximal Subgroups of Lie Groups, J. Differential Geometry **7** (1972) 175–191.

[12] M. Golubitsky & B. Rothschild: Primitive Subalgebras of Exceptional Lie Algebras, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971) 371–393.

[13] M. Golubitsky, I. Stewart & D.G. Schaeffer: *Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1988.

[14] R. Goodman & N.R. Wallach: *Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998.

[15] V.V. Gorbatsevich, A.L. Onishchik & E.B. Vinberg (eds): *Foundations of Lie Theory and Lie Transformation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1997.

[16] S. Helgason: *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1978.

[17] J.E.M. Hornos & Y.M.M. Hornos: Algebraic Model for the Evolution of the Genetic Code, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993), 4401–4404.

[18] J.E.M. Hornos, Y.M.M. Hornos & M. Forger: Symmetry and Symmetry Breaking: An Algebraic Approach to the Genetic Code, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **13** (1999), 2795–2885.

[19] F.I. Karpelevich: On Non-Semisimple Maximal Subalgebras of Semisimple Lie Algebras, Soviet Acad. Doklady **76** (1951) 775–778 (in Russian).

[20] P.B. Kleidman & M.W. Liebeck: *The Subgroup Structure of Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series **129**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.

[21] A.W. Knapp: *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1996.

[22] B.P. Komrakov: Reductive Subalgebras of Real Semisimple Lie Algebras, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **308** (1989) 521–525. English transl.: Sov. Math. Dokl. **40** (1990) 329–333.

[23] B.P. Komrakov: Maximal Subalgebras of Real Lie Algebras and a Problem of Sophus Lie, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **311** (1990) 528-532. English transl.: Sov. Math. Dokl. **41** (1990) 269–273.

[24] B.P. Komrakov: Primitive Actions and the Sophus Lie Problem, *The Sophus Lie Memorial Conference (Oslo, 1992)*, 187–269, Scand. Univ. Press, Oslo 1994.

[25] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger & J. Saxl: A Classification of the Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Alternating and Symmetric Groups, J. Algebra **111** (1987) 365–383.

[26] M.W. Liebeck & G.M. Seitz: Maximal Subgroups of Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, Finite and Algebraic, Geom. Dedicata **36** (1990) 353–387.

[27] V.V. Morozov: On Primitive Groups, Mat. Sbornik **5** (1939) 355–390 (in Russian).

[28] V.V. Morozov: On Non-Semisimple Maximal Subgroups of Simple Groups, Dissertation, Kazan University 1943 (in Russian).

[29] A.L. Onishchik and E.B. Vinberg (eds): *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 41, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1994.

[30] S.P. Norton: Anatomy of the Monster I, *The Atlas of Finite Groups, Ten Years On*, pp. 198–214, eds.: R.T. Curtis and R.A. Wilson, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998.

[31] S.P. Norton & R.A. Wilson: Anatomy of the Monster II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **84** (2002) 581–598.

[32] J. Tits: Sous-Algèbres des Algèbres de Lie Semi-Simples, Seminaire Bourbaki 119, Mai 1955.